

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/590,845	08/28/2006	Kyoichiro Iida	506.46539X00	1367	
20457 ANTONELLI	7590 05/16/201 TERRY, STOUT & K	EXA	EXAMINER		
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			ANDERSON	ANDERSON, REBECCA L	
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON	. VA 22209-3873	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	1626			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/16/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/590,845	IIDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	REBECCA ANDERSON	1626	

	REBECCA ANDERSON	1626					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavite al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 							
 b) The period for reply expires on; (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a)	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
appeal; and/or	, ,						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>94 (along with clair</u> submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling 		<u>led as allowable)</u> wou	d be allowable				
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)		I he entered and an e	volunation of				
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		i be critered and an e	Apianation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	4,						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	date of filing a brief, v	vill not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	and was not earlier presented. Se	e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
	/Rebecca L Anderson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1626					
	· ····································						

Continuation of 3, NOTE: While the amendment filed would overcome the pending 35 USC 102 and 103 rejections along with the objection to claim 94, the amendment would require further search and consideration as the examiner would have to extend the search according to MPEP 803.02. Additionally, the withdrawn claims would also require further search and consideration.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While applicants mendment overcomes the objection to claim 94, the 58 USC 102 rejection, and the 58 USC 103 rejection, the amendment does not overnot the objection to claims 77, 87 and 88 as containing non-elected subject matter and the objection to claims 78, 80-82, 85 and 88 as being dependent upon a rejected base calim as the entirety of the claimed subject matter has not been searched and examined. The amendment has not been entered as the amendment would require further search and consideration as the examiner would have to extend the search according to MFEP 803.02, In regards to claim 78, it is correct that claim 78 is objected as page 2 of the office action indicates this along with page 4 of the office action and the index of claims indicates this. The status of claim 78 was inadvertently left off of the office action summary but is clearly objected to.